

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FÌLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/656,400	09/04/2003	Douglas Allard	11533.0026.NPUS02	7398
	90 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP C/O M.P. DROSOS, DIRECTOR OF IP ADMINISTRATION			PRINCE, FRED G	
2941 FAIRVIE		DMINISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOX 7 FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22042	•	1724	
	, ·		DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			,v <i>u</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Course	10/656,400 ALLARD, DOUGLAS		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Prince	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic	eation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u>	anuary 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 12-19</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - - - -	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` ,	21(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	. د
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat		
Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0104</u> .		tent Application (PTO-152)	į

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: It appears that "or more" should be changed to --one or more-- in line 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. It is noted that Figure 4 is an informal drawing not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d). A new drawing will be required if the application is allowed as Figure 4 includes lines, numbers, and letters not uniformly thick and well-defined. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inagaki. Inagaki teaches an inlet (16), said inlet adapted to allow fluid flow therethrough, an outlet (40), said outlet adapted to allow fluid flow therethrough, one or more structural elements (11) connecting said inlet to said outlet, and one or more filtration elements (23) connected to said one or more structural elements.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Titch et al.

Art Unit: 1724

Titch et al. teach an inlet (22), said inlet adapted to allow fluid flow therethrough, an outlet (24), said outlet adapted to allow fluid flow therethrough, one or more structural elements (Fig. 3) connecting said inlet to said outlet, and one or more filtration elements (30) connected to said one or more structural elements.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-10 and 12-19 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 11 is objected for the reasons provided above, but would be allowable if corrected.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: While it is known in the art to provide a an inlet, said inlet adapted to allow fluid flow therethrough, an outlet, said outlet adapted to allow fluid flow therethrough, one or more structural elements connecting said inlet to said outlet, and one or more filtration elements connected to said one or more structural elements (see either reference described above), in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the filter being structured such that it may engage with a grassy swale. Nor does the prior art teach or suggest prefiltering fluid prior to entry into a grassy swale in the manner recited in claim 18.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/656,400

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred Prince Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

fgp 10/18/04